Title stata.com

hotelling — Hotelling's T^2 generalized means test

Description Quick start Menu Syntax

Options Remarks and examples Stored results Methods and formulas

References Also see

Description

hotelling performs Hotelling's \mathbb{T}^2 test of whether a set of means is zero or, alternatively, equal between two groups.

See [MV] **mvtest means** for generalizations of Hotelling's one-sample test with more general hypotheses, two-sample tests that do not assume that the covariance matrices are the same in the two groups, and tests with more than two groups.

Quick start

Hotelling's T^2 test that the means of v1, v2, and v3 are jointly zero

hotelling v1 v2 v3

Same as above, but suppress the table of means

hotelling v1 v2 v3, notable

Hotelling's T^2 test that the means of v1, v2, and v3 are the same in the two groups defined by catvar

hotelling v1 v2 v3, by(catvar)

Menu

 ${\it Statistics} > {\it Multivariate analysis} > {\it MANOVA}, \ {\it multivariate regression}, \ {\it and related} > {\it Hotelling's generalized means test}$

Syntax

 $\texttt{hotelling} \ \textit{varlist} \ \left[\textit{if} \ \right] \ \left[\textit{in} \ \right] \ \left[\textit{weight} \ \right] \ \left[\ \textit{,} \ \ \texttt{by}(\textit{varname}) \ \ \underline{\texttt{not}} \\ \texttt{able} \ \right]$

collect is allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

aweights and fweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

Note: hotel is a synonym for hotelling.

Options

Main

by (*varname*) specifies a variable identifying two groups; the test of equality of means between groups is performed. If by () is not specified, a test of means being jointly zero is performed.

notable suppresses printing a table of the means being compared.

Remarks and examples

stata.com

hotelling performs Hotelling's T^2 test of whether a set of means is zero or two sets of means are equal. It is a multivariate test that reduces to a standard t test if only one variable is specified.

Example 1

You wish to test whether a new fuel additive improves gas mileage in both stop-and-go and highway situations. Taking 12 cars, you fill them with gas and run them on a highway-style track, recording their gas mileage. You then refill them and run them on a stop-and-go style track. Finally, you repeat the two runs, but this time you use fuel with the additive. Your dataset is

- . use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r18/gasexp
- . describe

Contains data from https://www.stata-press.com/data/r18/gasexp.dta

Observations: Variables: 12 5

21 Nov 2022 12:56

Variable name	Storage type	Display format	Value label	Variable label
id	byte	%9.0g		Car ID
bmpg1	byte	%9.0g		Track 1 before additive
ampg1	byte	%9.0g		Track 1 after additive
bmpg2	byte	%9.0g		Track 2 before additive
ampg2	float	%9.0g		Track 2 after additive

Sorted by:

4

To perform the statistical test, you jointly test whether the differences in before-and-after results are zero:

- . generate diff1 = ampg1 bmpg1
- . generate diff2 = ampg2 bmpg2
- . hotelling diff1 diff2

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
diff1	12	1.75	2.70101	-3	 5
diff2	12	2.083333	2.906367	-3.5	5.5

1-group Hotelling's T-squared = 9.6980676

F test statistic: $((12-2)/(12-1)(2)) \times 9.6980676 = 4.4082126$

HO: Vector of means is equal to a vector of zeros F(2,10) =4.4082 0.0424 Prob > F(2,10) =

The means are different at the 4.24% significance level.

☐ Technical note

We used Hotelling's T^2 test because we were testing two differences jointly. Had there been only one difference, we could have used a standard t test, which would have yielded the same results as Hotelling's test:

. ttest ampg1 = bmpg1

Paired t test

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. err.	Std. dev.	[95% conf.	interval]
ampg1 bmpg1	12 12	22.75 21	.9384465 .7881701	3.250874 2.730301	20.68449 19.26525	24.81551 22.73475
diff	12	1.75	.7797144	2.70101	.0338602	3.46614
mean	(diff) = mear	n(ampg1 - b	mpg1)		t:	= 2.2444

H0: mean(diff) = 0Degrees of freedom = Ha: mean(diff) < 0</pre> Ha: mean(diff) != 0 Ha: mean(diff) > 0Pr(T < t) = 0.9768Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0463Pr(T > t) = 0.0232

. ttest diff1 = 0

One-sample t test

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. err.	Std. dev.	[95% conf.	interval]
diff1	12	1.75	.7797144	2.70101	.0338602	3.46614

mean = mean(diff1) t = H0: mean = 0

Degrees of freedom = Ha: mean < 0 Ha: mean != 0 Ha: mean > 0

Pr(T < t) = 0.9768Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0463Pr(T > t) = 0.0232

. hotelling diff1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
diff1	12	1.75	2.70101	-3	5

1-group Hotelling's T-squared = 5.0373832

F test statistic: $((12-1)/(12-1)(1)) \times 5.0373832 = 5.0373832$

HO: Vector of means is equal to a vector of zeros

F(1,11) =5.0374

Prob > F(1,11) =0.0463

▶ Example 2

Now consider a variation on the experiment: rather than using 12 cars and running each car with and without the fuel additive, you run 24 cars, 12 with the additive and 12 without. You have the following dataset:

- . use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r18/gasexp2, clear

Contains data from https://www.stata-press.com/data/r18/gasexp2.dta 24

Observations:

Variables:

Variable name	Storage type	Display format	Value label	Variable label	
id mpg1 mpg2 additive	byte byte float byte	%9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g	yesno	Car ID Track 1 Track 2 Additive?	

21 Nov 2022 13:01

Sorted by:

. tabulate additive

Additive?	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
No Yes	12 12	50.00 50.00	50.00 100.00
Total	24	100.00	

This is an unpaired experiment because there is no natural pairing of the cars; you want to test that the means of mpg1 are equal for the two groups specified by additive, as are the means of mpg2: . hotelling mpg1 mpg2, by(additive)

-> additive = N	0				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
mpg1	12	21	2.730301	17	25
mpg2	12	19.91667	2.644319	16	24

-> additive = '	Yes				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
mpg1 mpg2	12 12	22.75 22	3.250874 3.316625	17 16.5	28 27.5

2-group Hotelling's T-squared = 7.1347584

F test statistic: $((24-2-1)/(24-2)(2)) \times 7.1347584 = 3.4052256$

HO: Vectors of means are equal for the two groups

F(2,21) =3.4052 Prob > F(2,21) =0.0524

□ Technical note

As in the paired experiment, had there been only one test track, the t test would have yielded the same results as Hotelling's test:

. hotelling mpg1, by(additive)

-> additive =	No				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
mpg1	12	21	2.730301	17	25
-> additive =	Yes				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
mpg1	12	22.75	3.250874	17	28

2-group Hotelling's T-squared = 2.0390921

F test statistic: $((24-1-1)/(24-2)(1)) \times 2.0390921 = 2.0390921$

HO: Vectors of means are equal for the two groups

F(1,22) =2.0391 Prob > F(1,22) =0.1673

. ttest mpg1, by(additive)

Two-sample t test with equal variances

Group	Obs	Mean	Std. err.	Std. dev.	[95% conf	. interval]
No Yes	12 12	21 22.75	.7881701 .9384465	2.730301 3.250874	19.26525 20.68449	22.73475 24.81551
Combined	24	21.875	.6264476	3.068954	20.57909	23.17091
diff		-1.75	1.225518		-4.291568	.7915684

diff = mean(No) - mean(Yes)

-1.4280

H0: diff = 0Degrees of freedom =

Ha: diff < 0 Pr(T < t) = 0.0837

Ha: diff != 0 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.1673

Ha: diff > 0 Pr(T > t) = 0.9163 With more than one pair of means, however, there is no t test equivalent to Hotelling's test, although there are other logically (but not practically) equivalent solutions. One is the discriminant function: if the means of mpg1 and mpg2 are different, the discriminant function should separate the groups along that dimension.

. regress additive mpg1 mpg2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	24
Model Residual	1.46932917 4.53067083	2 21	.734664585		=	3.41 0.0524 0.2449 0.1730
Total	6	23	.260869565		=	.46448
additive	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t [95% c	onf.	interval]
mpg1 mpg2 _cons	4570407 .5014605 0120115	.2416657 .2376762 .7437049	2.11	0.0729596 0.047 .00718 0.987 -1.558	59	.0455306 .9957352 1.534607

This test would declare the means different at the 5.24% level. You could also have fit this model by using logistic regression:

```
. logit additive mpg1 mpg2
```

```
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -16.635532
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -13.395178
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -13.371201
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -13.371143
Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -13.371143
```

Logistic regression

Number of obs = 24 LR chi2(2) = 6.53 Prob > chi2 = 0.0382 Pseudo R2 = 0.1962

Log	likelihood	=	-13.371143
-----	------------	---	------------

ad	ditive	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
	mpg1	-2.306844	1.36139	-1.69	0.090	-4.975119	.3614307
	mpg2	2.524477	1.367373	1.85	0.065	1555257	5.20448
	_cons	-2.446527	3.689821	-0.66	0.507	-9.678443	4.78539

This test would have declared the means different at the 3.82% level.

Are the means different? Hotelling's T^2 and the discriminant function reject equality at the 5.24% level. The logistic regression rejects equality at the 3.82% level.

Stored results

hotelling stores the following in r():

Scalars

r(N) number of observations r(T2) Hotelling's T^2 r(k) number of variables r(df) degrees of freedom

Methods and formulas

See Wilks (1962, 556-561) for a general discussion. The original formulation was by Hotelling (1931) and Mahalanobis (1930, 1936).

For the test that the means of k variables are 0, let $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ be a $1 \times k$ matrix of the means and \mathbf{S} be the estimated covariance matrix. Then $T^2 = \overline{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{x}}'$.

For two groups, the test of equality is $T^2 = (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2) \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2)'$.

Harold Hotelling (1895-1973) was an American economist and statistician who made many important contributions to mathematical economics, multivariate analysis, and statistical inference. After obtaining degrees in journalism and mathematics, he taught and researched at Stanford, Columbia, and the University of North Carolina. His work generalizing Student's t ratio and on principal components, canonical correlation, multivariate analysis of variance, and correlation continues to be widely used.

Prasanta Chandra Mahalanobis (1893-1972) studied physics and mathematics at Calcutta and Cambridge. He became interested in statistics and on his return to India worked on applications in anthropology, meteorology, hydrology, and agriculture. Mahalanobis became the leader in Indian statistics, specializing in multivariate problems (including what is now called the Mahalanobis distance), the design of large-scale sample surveys, and the contribution of statistics to national planning.

References

Hotelling, H. 1931. The generalization of Student's ratio. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 2: 360-378. https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177732979.

Mahalanobis, P. C. 1930. On tests and measures of group divergence. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal 26: 541-588.

—. 1936. On the generalized distance in statistics. National Institute of Science of India 12: 49–55.

Olkin, I., and A. R. Sampson. 2001. Harold Hotelling. In Statisticians of the Centuries, ed. C. C. Heyde and E. Seneta, 454-458. New York: Springer.

Rao, C. R. 1973. Prasantha Chandra Mahalanobis, 1893–1972. Biographical Memoirs of Fellows of The Royal Society 19: 455-492. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbm.1973.0017.

Wilks, S. S. 1962. Mathematical Statistics. New York: Wiley.

Also see

[MV] manova — Multivariate analysis of variance and covariance

[MV] mvtest means — Multivariate tests of means

[R] regress — Linear regression

[R] **ttest** — t tests (mean-comparison tests)

Stata, Stata Press, and Mata are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow and NetCourseNow are trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985-2023 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.