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## Description

discrim qda performs quadratic discriminant analysis. See [MV] discrim for other discrimination commands.

## Quick start

Quadratic discriminant analysis of v1, v2, v3, and v4 for groups defined by catvar discrim qda v1 v2 v3 v4, group(catvar)

Same as above, but use prior probabilities proportional to group size
discrim qda v1 v2 v3 v4, group(catvar) priors(proportional)
Display the leave-one-out and the resubstitution classification tables
discrim qda v1 v2 v3 v4, group(catvar) lootable
Same as above, but suppress the resubstitution classification table
discrim qda v1 v2 v3 v4, group(catvar) lootable notable

## Menu

Statistics $>$ Multivariate analysis $>$ Discriminant analysis $>$ Quadratic (QDA)

## Syntax

```
discrim qda varlist \([\) if \(][\) in \(]\) [weight], group(groupvar) [options]
```

options

Model

* group (groupvar)
priors (priors)
ties (ties)
Reporting
notable
lootable
variable specifying the groups
group prior probabilities
how ties in classification are to be handled
suppress resubstitution classification table display leave-one-out classification table
priors


## Description

equal
proportional
matname
matrix_exp
equal prior probabilities; the default
group-size-proportional prior probabilities
row or column vector containing the group prior probabilities
matrix expression providing a row or column vector of the group prior probabilities

## ties Description

missing ties in group classification produce missing values; the default
$\underline{\text { random } \quad \text { ties in group classification are broken randomly }}$
first ties in group classification are set to the first tied group

* group() is required.
collect, statsby, and xi are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
fweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.


## Options

$\qquad$
group (groupvar) is required and specifies the name of the grouping variable. groupvar must be a numeric variable.
priors(priors) specifies the prior probabilities for group membership. The following priors are allowed:
priors (equal) specifies equal prior probabilities. This is the default.
priors (proportional) specifies group-size-proportional prior probabilities. priors (matname) specifies a row or column vector containing the group prior probabilities. priors (matrix_exp) specifies a matrix expression providing a row or column vector of the group prior probabilities.
ties (ties) specifies how ties in group classification will be handled. The following ties are allowed: ties (missing) specifies that ties in group classification produce missing values. This is the default.
ties (random) specifies that ties in group classification are broken randomly.
ties (first) specifies that ties in group classification are set to the first tied group.

Reporting
notable suppresses the computation and display of the resubstitution classification table.
lootable displays the leave-one-out classification table.

## Remarks and examples

stata.com
Quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA) was introduced by Smith (1947). It is a generalization of linear discriminant analysis (LDA). Both LDA and QDA assume that the observations come from a multivariate normal distribution. LDA assumes that the groups have equal covariance matrices. QDA removes this assumption, allowing the groups to have different covariance matrices.

One of the penalties associated with QDA's added flexibility is that if any groups have fewer observations, $n_{i}$, than discriminating variables, $p$, the covariance matrix for that group is singular and QDA cannot be performed. Even if there are enough observations to invert the covariance matrix, if the sample size is relatively small for a group, the estimation of the covariance matrix for that group may not do a good job of representing the group's population covariance, leading to inaccuracies in classification.

## > Example 1: QDA classification tables and error rates

We illustrate QDA with a small dataset introduced in example 1 of [MV] manova. Andrews and Herzberg (1985, 357-360) present data on six apple tree rootstock groups with four measurements on eight trees from each group.

We request the display of the leave-one-out (LOO) classification table in addition to the standard resubstitution classification table produced by discrim qda.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r18/rootstock
(Table 6.2. Rootstock data, Rencher and Christensen (2012))
. discrim qda y1 y2 y3 y4, group(rootstock) lootable
Quadratic discriminant analysis
Resubstitution classification summary
```

| Key |
| :--- |
| Number <br> Percent |


| True rootstock | Classif | ied 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  | 0.00 | 87.50 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
|  | 12.50 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 87.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 8 |
|  | 0.00 | 37.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 12.50 | 100.00 |
| 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 |
|  | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 62.50 | 100.00 |
| Total | 11 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 48 |
|  | 22.92 | 20.83 | 14.58 | 16.67 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 100.00 |
| Priors | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 |  |

Leave-one-out classification summary

| Key |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| True <br> rootstock | Classif <br> 1 | ed 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
|  | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 37.50 | 12.50 | 25.00 | 100.00 |
| 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
|  | 0.00 | 37.50 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 12.50 | 100.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
|  | 12.50 | 25.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
|  | 12.50 | 12.50 | 37.50 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 100.00 |
| 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
|  | 0.00 | 50.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 12.50 | 100.00 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
|  | 37.50 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 100.00 |
| Total | 7 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 48 |
|  | 14.58 | 22.92 | 16.67 | 14.58 | 16.67 | 14.58 | 100.00 |
| Priors | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 | 0.1667 |  |

Compare the counts on the diagonal of the resubstitution classification table with those on the LOO table. The LOO table has fewer of the observations with correct classifications. The resubstitution classification table is overly optimistic.

The estat errorrate postestimation command provides estimates of the error rates for the groups. We request the count-based estimates, first for the resubstitution classification and then for the LOO classification. We also suppress display of the prior probabilities, which will default to equal across the groups because that is how we estimated our QDA model. See [MV] discrim estat for details of the estat errorrate command.

| Error rate estimated by error count |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | rootstock | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Error rate | 0 | . 125 | . 25 | . 125 | . 5 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { rootstock } \\ 6 \end{array}$ | Total |  |  |  |
| Error rate | . 375 | . 2291667 |  |  |  |


| Error rate estimated by leave-one-out error count |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | rootstock <br> 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Error rate | . 75 | . 625 | . 5 | . 75 | . 75 |
|  | rootstock 6 | Total |  |  |  |
| Error rate | . 75 | . 6875 |  |  |  |

The estimated group error rates are much higher in the LOO table.
See example 2 of [MV] discrim qda postestimation for an examination of the squared Mahalanobis distances between the rootstock groups. We could also list the misclassified observations, produce group summaries, examine covariances and correlations, and generate classification and probability variables and more; see [MV] discrim qda postestimation.

See example 3 of [MV] discrim estat and example 1 of [MV] discrim qda postestimation for other examples of the use of discrim qda.

## Stored results

discrim qda stores the following in $e()$ :
Scalars
$e(N) \quad$ number of observations
e(N_groups)
e(k)
number of groups
number of discriminating variables
Macros
e(cmd)
$e$ (subcmd)
e(cmdline)
e(groupvar)
e(grouplabels)
e(varlist)
e(wtype)
e(wexp)
e(title)
e(ties)
e(properties)
e(estat_cmd)
e(predict)
e(marginsnotok)
discrim
qda
command as typed
name of group variable
labels for the groups
discriminating variables
weight type
weight expression
title in estimation output
how ties are to be handled
nob noV
program used to implement estat
program used to implement predict
predictions disallowed by margins
Matrices
e(groupcounts) number of observations for each group
e(grouppriors) prior probabilities for each group
$e$ (groupvalues) numeric value for each group
e(means)
e(SSCP_W\#)
e(W\#_eigvals)
group means on discriminating variables
within group SSCP matrix for group \#
e(W\#_eigvecs)
eigenvalues of e(SSCP_W\#)
e(sqrtS\#inv)
eigenvectors of e(SSCP_W\#)
Cholesky (square root) of the inverse covariance matrix for group \#
Functions
$e$ (sample) marks estimation sample

## Methods and formulas

Let $g$ be the number of groups, $n_{i}$ the number of observations for group $i$, and $q_{i}$ the prior probability for group $i$. Let $\mathbf{x}$ denote an observation measured on $p$ discriminating variables. For consistency with the discriminant analysis literature, $\mathbf{x}$ will be a column vector, though it corresponds to a row in your dataset. Let $f_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ represent the density function for group $i$, and let $P\left(\mathbf{x} \mid G_{i}\right)$ denote the probability of observing $\mathbf{x}$ conditional on belonging to group $i$. Denote the posterior probability of group $i$ given observation $\mathbf{x}$ as $P\left(G_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}\right)$. With Bayes's theorem, we have

$$
P\left(G_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}\right)=\frac{q_{i} f_{i}(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{j=1}^{g} q_{j} f_{j}(\mathbf{x})}
$$

Substituting $P\left(\mathbf{x} \mid G_{i}\right)$ for $f_{i}(\mathbf{x})$, we have

$$
P\left(G_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}\right)=\frac{q_{i} P\left(\mathbf{x} \mid G_{i}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{g} q_{j} P\left(\mathbf{x} \mid G_{j}\right)}
$$

QDA assumes that the groups are multivariate normal. Let $\mathbf{S}_{i}$ denote the within-group sample covariance matrix for group $i$ and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}$ denote the sample mean of group $i$. The squared Mahalanobis distance between observation $\mathbf{x}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}$ is

$$
D_{i}^{2}=\left(\mathbf{x}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{S}_{i}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}\right)
$$

Plugging these sample estimates into the multivariate normal density gives

$$
P\left(\mathbf{x} \mid G_{i}\right)=(2 \pi)^{-p / 2}\left|\mathbf{S}_{i}\right|^{-1 / 2} e^{-D_{i}^{2} / 2}
$$

Substituting this into the formula for $P\left(G_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}\right)$ and simplifying gives

$$
P\left(G_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}\right)=\frac{q_{i}\left|\mathbf{S}_{i}\right|^{-1 / 2} e^{-D_{i}^{2} / 2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{g} q_{j}\left|\mathbf{S}_{j}\right|^{-1 / 2} e^{-D_{j}^{2} / 2}}
$$

as the QDA posterior probability of observation $\mathbf{x}$ belonging to group $i$.
The squared Mahalanobis distance between group means is produced by estat grdistances; see [MV] discrim qda postestimation.

Classification functions can be derived from the Mahalanobis QDA; see Huberty (1994, 58). Let $Q_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ denote the quadratic classification function for the $i$ th group applied to observation $\mathbf{x}$.

$$
Q_{i}(\mathbf{x})=-D_{i}^{2} / 2-\ln \left|\mathbf{S}_{i}\right| / 2+\ln \left(q_{i}\right)
$$

An observation can be classified based on largest posterior probability or based on largest quadratic classification function score.
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## Also see

[MV] discrim qda postestimation - Postestimation tools for discrim qda
[MV] discrim - Discriminant analysis
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

Stata, Stata Press, and Mata are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow and NetCourseNow are trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright (c) 1985-2023 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.

For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.

